



Staff : SANDHYA L

Subject : ECT202 - ANALOG CIRCUITS  
Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023  
Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023  
Users Completed : 49

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 A  
Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020  
Overall Score : 92.03

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	40	81.63%	710
		Good	14.13	4	8.16%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	3	6.12%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	2	4.08%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	39	79.59%	565.5
		Good	11.81	4	8.16%	47.24
		Fair	8.11	6	12.24%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	37	75.51%	277.5
		Good	5.71	7	14.29%	39.97
		Fair	4.04	4	8.16%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	1	2.04%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	40	81.63%	234
		10 to 25	3.66	1	2.04%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.12%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.2%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	83.67%	164
Yes		0.67	6	12.24%	4.02	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	4.08%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	79.59%	360.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	18.37%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.04%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	44	89.8%	209
		Too Fast	1.34	3	6.12%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.08%	2.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	39	79.59%	268.32
		Some Times	3.89	7	14.29%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	2.04%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.08%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	43	87.76%	290.25
		Indifferent	2.78	5	10.2%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.04%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	45	91.84%	517.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.08%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.04%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	2.04%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	73.47%	405
		Good	9.03	10	20.41%	90.3
		Fair	6.46	3	6.12%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

#### Strength and Weakness

- ok
- Strength : Great effort and excellent explanation

#### Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

**Head of The Department**



Staff : DEEPA A K

Subject : ECT204 - SIGNALS AND SYSTEMS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 47

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 A

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 88.39

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31	65.96%	550.25
		Good	14.13	9	19.15%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	5	10.64%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	2	4.26%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	65.96%	449.5
		Good	11.81	8	17.02%	94.48
		Fair	8.11	6	12.77%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	2	4.26%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	65.96%	232.5
		Good	5.71	8	17.02%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	6	12.77%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	2	4.26%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	80.85%	222.3
		10 to 25	3.66	4	8.51%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.13%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	8.51%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	85.11%	160
Yes		0.67	4	8.51%	2.68	
Can't Judge		1.65	3	6.38%	4.95	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	31	65.96%	286.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	27.66%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	3	6.38%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	38	80.85%	180.5
		Too Fast	1.34	3	6.38%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	12.77%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	38	80.85%	261.44
		Some Times	3.89	7	14.89%	27.23
		No	0.74	2	4.26%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	85.11%	270
		Indifferent	2.78	3	6.38%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4.26%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.26%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	87.23%	471.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.38%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.26%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	2.13%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	33	70.21%	371.25
		Good	9.03	9	19.15%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	2	4.26%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	3	6.38%	4.68
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

**Strength and Weakness**

- ok

**Special Remarks**

- The fact that teacher travels by train everyday and has a very busy schedule and still is very energetic is just mesmerizing.

**Head of The Department**



Staff : KUMAR G S

Subject : ECT206 - COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE AND MICROCONTROLLERS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 46

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 A

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 89.44

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	34	73.91%	603.5
		Good	14.13	6	13.04%	84.78
		Fair	10.28	2	4.35%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	4	8.7%	14.12
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	33	71.74%	478.5
		Good	11.81	8	17.39%	94.48
		Fair	8.11	2	4.35%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	3	6.52%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	67.39%	232.5
		Good	5.71	9	19.57%	51.39
		Fair	4.04	4	8.7%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	2	4.35%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	37	80.43%	216.45
		10 to 25	3.66	4	8.7%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.35%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	6.52%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	39	84.78%	156
Yes		0.67	2	4.35%	1.34	
Can't Judge		1.65	5	10.87%	8.25	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	80.43%	342.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	5	10.87%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	2	4.35%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	4.35%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	38	82.61%	180.5
		Too Fast	1.34	3	6.52%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	10.87%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	37	80.43%	254.56
		Some Times	3.89	6	13.04%	23.34
		No	0.74	3	6.52%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	86.96%	270
		Indifferent	2.78	3	6.52%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	6.52%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	40	86.96%	460
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.52%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	6.52%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	37	80.43%	416.25
		Good	9.03	4	8.7%	36.12
		Fair	6.46	2	4.35%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	3	6.52%	4.68
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

**Strength and Weakness**

- ok

**Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.

**Head of The Department**





**Staff : DHANYA V V**

**Subject : MAT204 - PROBABILITY RANDOM PROCESS AND NUMERICAL METHODS**

**Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023**

**Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023**

**Users Completed : 45**

**Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 A**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 92.81**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	36	80%	<b>639</b>
		Good	14.13	6	13.33%	<b>84.78</b>
		Fair	10.28	1	2.22%	<b>10.28</b>
		Poor	3.53	2	4.44%	<b>7.06</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	80%	<b>522</b>
		Good	11.81	6	13.33%	<b>70.86</b>
		Fair	8.11	2	4.44%	<b>16.22</b>
		Poor	2.01	1	2.22%	<b>2.01</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	38	84.44%	<b>285</b>
		Good	5.71	4	8.89%	<b>22.84</b>
		Fair	4.04	1	2.22%	<b>4.04</b>
		Poor	1.13	2	4.44%	<b>2.26</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	84.44%	<b>222.3</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	1	2.22%	<b>3.66</b>
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.67%	<b>5.73</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	6.67%	<b>4.92</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	37	82.22%	<b>148</b>
Yes		0.67	3	6.67%	<b>2.01</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	5	11.11%	<b>8.25</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	40	88.89%	<b>370</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	6.67%	<b>19.02</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	2	4.44%	<b>5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41	91.11%	<b>194.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	3	6.67%	<b>4.02</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	2.22%	<b>1.4</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	38	84.44%	<b>261.44</b>
		Some Times	3.89	5	11.11%	<b>19.45</b>
		No	0.74	2	4.44%	<b>1.48</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	88.89%	<b>270</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	3	6.67%	<b>8.34</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4.44%	<b>2.56</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	91.11%	<b>471.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.44%	<b>2.66</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.44%	<b>10.12</b>
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	80%	<b>405</b>
		Good	9.03	6	13.33%	<b>54.18</b>
		Fair	6.46	2	4.44%	<b>12.92</b>
		Poor	1.56	1	2.22%	<b>1.56</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

**Strength and Weakness**

- ok

**Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.

**Head of The Department**







Staff : SAJITHSETHU P

Subject : EST200 - DESIGN AND ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 45

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 A

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 93

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	36	80%	<b>639</b>
		Good	14.13	6	13.33%	<b>84.78</b>
		Fair	10.28	2	4.44%	<b>20.56</b>
		Poor	3.53	1	2.22%	<b>3.53</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	35	77.78%	<b>507.5</b>
		Good	11.81	7	15.56%	<b>82.67</b>
		Fair	8.11	2	4.44%	<b>16.22</b>
		Poor	2.01	1	2.22%	<b>2.01</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	80%	<b>270</b>
		Good	5.71	6	13.33%	<b>34.26</b>
		Fair	4.04	2	4.44%	<b>8.08</b>
		Poor	1.13	1	2.22%	<b>1.13</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	37	82.22%	<b>216.45</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	4	8.89%	<b>14.64</b>
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.44%	<b>3.82</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	4.44%	<b>3.28</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	39	86.67%	<b>156</b>
Yes		0.67	2	4.44%	<b>1.34</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	4	8.89%	<b>6.6</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	36	80%	<b>333</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	13.33%	<b>38.04</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	2	4.44%	<b>5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.22%	<b>3.16</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	40	88.89%	<b>190</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	2	4.44%	<b>2.68</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.67%	<b>4.2</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	38	84.44%	<b>261.44</b>
		Some Times	3.89	5	11.11%	<b>19.45</b>
		No	0.74	2	4.44%	<b>1.48</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	41	91.11%	<b>276.75</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	2	4.44%	<b>5.56</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4.44%	<b>2.56</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	91.11%	<b>471.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.22%	<b>1.33</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.44%	<b>10.12</b>
		Blank	4.03	1	2.22%	<b>4.03</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	39	86.67%	<b>438.75</b>
		Good	9.03	4	8.89%	<b>36.12</b>
		Fair	6.46	1	2.22%	<b>6.46</b>
		Poor	1.56	1	2.22%	<b>1.56</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

**Strength and Weakness**

- ok

**Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.

**Head of The Department**





Staff : DR.NELWIN RAJ N R

Subject : MCN202 - CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users Completed : 46

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 A

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 92.05

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	76.09%	<b>621.25</b>
		Good	14.13	8	17.39%	<b>113.04</b>
		Fair	10.28	2	4.35%	<b>20.56</b>
		Poor	3.53	1	2.17%	<b>3.53</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	35	76.09%	<b>507.5</b>
		Good	11.81	8	17.39%	<b>94.48</b>
		Fair	8.11	2	4.35%	<b>16.22</b>
		Poor	2.01	1	2.17%	<b>2.01</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	32	69.57%	<b>240</b>
		Good	5.71	9	19.57%	<b>51.39</b>
		Fair	4.04	4	8.7%	<b>16.16</b>
		Poor	1.13	1	2.17%	<b>1.13</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	39	84.78%	<b>228.15</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	2	4.35%	<b>7.32</b>
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.35%	<b>3.82</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	6.52%	<b>4.92</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	39	84.78%	<b>156</b>
Yes		0.67	3	6.52%	<b>2.01</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	4	8.7%	<b>6.6</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	80.43%	<b>342.25</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	7	15.22%	<b>44.38</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.17%	<b>2.5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.17%	<b>3.16</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41	89.13%	<b>194.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.17%	<b>1.34</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	8.7%	<b>5.6</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	37	80.43%	<b>254.56</b>
		Some Times	3.89	7	15.22%	<b>27.23</b>
		No	0.74	1	2.17%	<b>0.74</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.17%	<b>1.78</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	41	89.13%	<b>276.75</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	1	2.17%	<b>2.78</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	6.52%	<b>3.84</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.17%	<b>1.94</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	89.13%	<b>471.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.17%	<b>1.33</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	6.52%	<b>15.18</b>
		Blank	4.03	1	2.17%	<b>4.03</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	37	80.43%	<b>416.25</b>
		Good	9.03	5	10.87%	<b>45.15</b>
		Fair	6.46	3	6.52%	<b>19.38</b>
		Poor	1.56	1	2.17%	<b>1.56</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

Strength and Weakness

- ok

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





**Staff : DR.NISHA JOSE K**

**Subject : ECT292 - NANOELECTRONICS**

**Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023**

**Session Id : TE-SN1948-Jun-2023**

**Users Completed : 7**

**Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K21 A**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 89.45**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	5	71.43%	<b>88.75</b>
		Good	14.13	1	14.29%	<b>14.13</b>
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	3.53	1	14.29%	<b>3.53</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	5	71.43%	<b>72.5</b>
		Good	11.81	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Fair	8.11	1	14.29%	<b>8.11</b>
		Poor	2.01	1	14.29%	<b>2.01</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	5	71.43%	<b>37.5</b>
		Good	5.71	2	28.57%	<b>11.42</b>
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	6	85.71%	<b>35.1</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	1	14.29%	<b>3.66</b>
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	85.71%	<b>24</b>
Yes		0.67	1	14.29%	<b>0.67</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	0	0%	<b>0</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	5	71.43%	<b>46.25</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	14.29%	<b>6.34</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	1	14.29%	<b>2.5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	85.71%	<b>28.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	14.29%	<b>1.4</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	85.71%	<b>41.28</b>
		Some Times	3.89	1	14.29%	<b>3.89</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	7	100%	<b>47.25</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	7	100%	<b>80.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	5	71.43%	<b>56.25</b>
		Good	9.03	1	14.29%	<b>9.03</b>
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.56	1	14.29%	<b>1.56</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

**Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

**Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.

**Head of The Department**